Impact of Mobile advertising on consumer attitudes in Algeria: case study of Ooredoo
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ABSTRACT

Mobile advertising is a perfect tool to build a relationship based on proximity between brand and consumer. It is tactile, interactive, and personalized. As few studies have focused on this concept, particularly in the Algerian context, we aim in this research to show the role of mobile advertising on consumer attitudes. After a literature review, an empirical study is conducted among 150 students in Tlemcen city. Results, using regression analysis, show that attitude towards mobile advertising had no influence on the attitude towards brand and purchase intention.

1. Introduction

Today Smartphones have penetrated every daily life aspects of Algerians. Indeed, they have reinvented the mobile phone by allowing multiple uses. Advertisers and practitioners specializing in mobile marketing have understood the opportunity offered by these new technologies that help consumers receive personalized and contextualized ads on diverse mobile media. However, if the first effectiveness results of mobile marketing campaigns seem quite encouraging, some studies exhibit lower efficiency of mobile advertising through some mediator variables in advertising effectiveness, particularly as attitude toward mobile advertising. In Algeria, few studies have focused on the influence of mobile advertising on consumer attitudes. Consequently, this study attempts to clarify how mobile advertising influences the attitudes of Algerian consumers. Thus, our objectives are twofold: First, we try to summarize the current state of knowledge on mobile advertising and second, we test causal relationship between mobile advertising and Algerian consumer attitudes.
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2. Literature review

2.1 Definition of advertising

Advertising is the favorite instrument of communication. It is “a nonpersonal communication of information usually paid for and usually persuasive in nature about products, services or ideas by identified sponsors through the various media” (Bovee & Arens, 1994). According to Krugman (1975), advertising success depends on three elements, namely: information, rational stimulus and intensity. Good advertising must have at least one of these elements. Advertising plays a very important role to develop a products or services. On the one hand, it informs customers of the existence of a new product on the market and helps them to find the best products. Second, it increases business sales, changes attitudes and behavior of consumers towards a product. In addition, it strengthens firm credibility.

2.2 Definition of mobile marketing

Many definitions exist of mobile marketing. According to Scharl et al. (2005), mobile marketing involves the use of a wireless medium to provide consumers a personalized information that promotes products, services or ideas and thus brings benefits to all stakeholders. According to the Mobile Marketing Association (MMA) (2009), mobile marketing “is a set of practices that enables organizations to communicate and engage with their audience in an interactive and relevant manner through and with any mobile device or network.”

This latter definition includes all aspects of mobile marketing. First, the concept of "practice" includes all the marketing processes and activities such as promotions, relationship management, CRM, customer services, loyalty, social marketing. Second, the concept of “engagement” in turn, implies a junction in communication and a social interaction between firms and consumers.

2.3 Definition of mobile advertising

According to Li (2004), “Mobile advertising refers to any communication about products, services and ideas that involves the use of mobile devices for promotional purposes”. This definition includes not only telephony terminal but also all mobile devices. Although mobile device are different, they have in common the ability to contact consumers in personalized manner wherever they are. Advertisers use more and more the mobile communication medium because it is:

- Powerful: (high mobile penetration rate),
- Personal: mobile is the ideal communication tool One to One,
- Relevant: the mobile allows very precise targeting of marketing campaigns,
- Low cost.

2.4 Attitude

Understanding consumer behavior is not easy as it is a key factor in the development of business strategies. Among most of the different consumer behavior models developed up to now, attitude is the variable that retain most attention. Attitude is a key factor in the study of consumer behavior because it is one of the main elements that influence consumer behavior as well as purchase intention. Since the 20s, researchers have been interested in the concept of attitude that was thought off as the basis of all behavior (Allport, 1935; Campbell, 1963; Doob, 1947). Allport (1935) defines it as “a mental and neural state of readiness, organized through experience, exerting a directive and dynamic influence upon the individual's response to all objects and situations with which it is related”. For Kotler et al. (2006), “an attitude sums up the feedback (positive or negative), emotional reactions and predispositions toward an object or idea”. This means that attitudes enable an individual to establish
consistent behavior with respect to a class of similar objects. All these definitions highlight the characteristics of consumer attitude as:

• An attitude is built and results from the evaluations of experiences,
• An attitude is durable:
• The attitude is based on a set of predispositions that can be favorable or unfavorable to an object or class of objects.

Baker and Churchill (1977) consider that the structure of Attitudes can be described in terms of three components: cognitive (beliefs), affective (feelings) and conative (behavior).

2.5 The influence of m-advertising on consumer attitudes

Starting from the idea that m-advertising is a form of advertising, persuasive advertising models can thenceforth be applied. Several authors have attempted to explain the effectiveness of persuasive advertising from the early theories of persuasion based on the hierarchy of effects to the more recent Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM) (Petty & Cacioppo, 1981, 1986), and other extension works (Grossbart et al., 1986). In Algeria, also some researchers have tried to explain this phenomenon (Merabet & Benhabib, 2012, 2014). Furthermore, other studies in the field of mobile advertising are based on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) of Davis (1986) by considering mobile advertising as an innovation. This model has been widely used to predict buying intentions (Hoffman & Novak 1996; Yang, 2005).

3. The conceptual model

3.1 Variables

3.1.1 The attitude towards the brand (Ab)

The previous definitions could be extend to the brand or ad. Howard (1989) defines attitude towards a brand as “the degree of satisfying the needs the consumer thinks this brand may provide”. It represents all the assessments made by the consumer on the ability of different brands or products to satisfy needs (Assael, 1984).

3.1.2 The attitude toward mobile advertising (Aad)

The attitude toward advertising has been recognized as a central element in the advertising persuasion process. According to Lutz (1985), attitude toward advertising is “a predisposition to respond favorably or unfavorably to a particular advertisement during a particular exposure”. For Derbaix (1995), In general, the Aad is a personal and global assessment of an advertising stimulus-related to an exposure situation.

3.1.3 The purchase intention (Purchase intent) (PI)

The purchase intention is a very complex concept. Some researchers consider it as a component of the attitude that is on the conative dimension (Greenwald 1968; Kothandapani 1971; Ostrom, 1969), others consider it as an independent variable (Bagozzi, 1981). According to Duhaime et al. (1996), purchase intention is a step of the decision-making process where the consumer expresses its preference for a product based on its experience, beliefs and attitudes.

3.2. Hypotheses

Several researchers have shown the positive influence of the attitude toward the ad on the attitude toward the brand and purchase intention. Therefore, we can formulate the following hypotheses:
H1: There is a causal link between consumer attitudes towards mobile advertising in general and their attitude towards a brand.
H2: There is a causal link between consumer attitudes towards mobile advertising in general and their buying intentions.

On the basis of the seminal work of Fishbein and Ajzen (2011), which assumes that in general, attitudes would lead to intentions which, subsequently, would lead to actual behavior, many researchers have been interested in the link between consumer attitudes and intentions of future behavior (e.g., Oliver, 1980; Berger & Alwitt, 1996). According to their work, we shall check these links and therefore formulate the following hypothesis:

H3: There is a causal link between consumer attitudes toward a brand and their intentions for future behavior toward that brand.

The above hypotheses can be illustrated in our research framework shown in Fig. 1 as follows,

![Fig. 1. The conceptual model](image)

4. Methods

To test our hypotheses, an experiment was necessary in this study.

4.1 Brand selection

We choose a telecommunication provider, namely Ooredoo brand, widely known for its mobile advertising campaigns.

4.2 Sample

The sample consists of 150 student of Tlemcen University (Algeria).

4.3 Scale selection

Many scales have been developed to measure the attitude toward mobile advertising. We select items from the scales developed by the following authors (Sing & Vij, 2008; Mehta, 2000).

- The scale of Grossbart et al. (1986) is used to measure Ab with three items.
- PI is measured by the probability scale of Axelrod (1968).

4.4 Testing measurement models

At first and in accordance with the Churchill procedure, we conduct principal components analysis with varimax rotation to test the different structures of constructs used in this research, excluding purchase
intention scale as it consists of a single item. To determine the number of factors to retain, we consider
the most usual rule of Kaiser (selected factors corresponding to Eigen values above the unit). Only
items with communality greater than 0.5 and the absolute value of their correlation to an axis are greater
than 0.6 are retained. Then, the Cronbach's alpha is used to assess the reliability of these constructs.
The estimated coefficients can be described as acceptable as they are all above 0.70. In a second step,
we conduct a confirmatory factor analysis with a bootstrap procedure. The validity of each scale is
checked by means of absolute, incremental and parsimony indices. In general, all adjustment indices
are considered good and acceptable (see Table 1).

Table 1
Results from Exploratory analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Communalities</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>% explained variance</th>
<th>KMO</th>
<th>Bartlett's Test of Sphericity</th>
<th>Eigen values</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aad1</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aad2</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aad4</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.39</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab2</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab3</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td></td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI2</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Findings

To test a causal relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables, it is
necessary to use an explanatory analysis. Our model can be tested using a simple and multiple regres-
sion model. We chose the regression analysis because it is characterized by its simplicity and can ex-
plain a quantitative variable by several other independent quantitative variables.

5.1 Effect of Aad on Ab

As Tables (2-4) show, the results obtained postulate that the Aad does not influence the Ab.

Table 2
Summary of models (Relation Aad→ Ab)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Adjusted R square</th>
<th>Std. error of the estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>2.3043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predicted value: (Constants), Aad

Table 3
ANOVAb (Relation Aad→ Ab)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>ddl</th>
<th>Mean of squares</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>7,879</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,489</td>
<td>0.230*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>201,096</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5,292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>208,975</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predicted value: (Constants), Aad
b. Dependent Variable : Ab

d. Std. error of the estimation

Table 4
Coefficientsa (Relation Aad→ Ab)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>11,017</td>
<td>7,412</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aad</td>
<td>0,169</td>
<td>0,194</td>
<td>1,22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable : Ab
5.2 Effect of Aad on PI

The results indicate that the relationship (Aad-PI) is not significant (see Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7)

### Table 5
Summary of models (Relation Aad→ PI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Adjusted R square</th>
<th>Std. error of the estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,055a</td>
<td>0,003</td>
<td>-0,023</td>
<td>2,21859</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a. Predicted value: (Constants), Aad*

### Table 6
ANOVA*(Relation Aad→ PI)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>ddl</th>
<th>Mean of squares</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>0,558</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,558</td>
<td>0,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>187,042</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4,922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>187,6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a. Predicted value: (Constants), Aad*

### Table 7
Coefficients(Relation Aad→ PI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>6,132</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td>4,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aad</td>
<td>0,045</td>
<td>0,134</td>
<td>0,055</td>
<td>0,337</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a. Dependent Variable : PI*

The results of the hypotheses H1 and H2 could be explained by the fact that the Algerian mobile users perceive mobile advertising as intrusive, and have negative perceptions about them.

5.3 Effect of Ab on PI

The results show that Ab influence purchase intention.

### Table 8
Summary of models (Relation Ab→ PI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Adjusted R square</th>
<th>Std. error of the estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,502a</td>
<td>0,252</td>
<td>0,232</td>
<td>1,92162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a. Predicted value: (Constants), Ab*

### Table 9
ANOVA*(Relation Ab→ PI)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>ddl</th>
<th>Mean of squares</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>47,28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47,28</td>
<td>12,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>140,32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3,693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>187,6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a. Predicted value: (Constants), Ab*

*b. Dependent Variable : PI*
Table 10
Coefficientsa (Relation Ab → PI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardised Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardised Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Erreur standard</td>
<td>Bêta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td>0,524</td>
<td>1,725</td>
<td>0,303</td>
<td>0,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>0,476</td>
<td>0,133</td>
<td>0,502</td>
<td>3,578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable : PI

6. Conclusion and discussion

Experts predict a significant growth of mobile advertising market in the coming years in Algeria that remains largely under-exploited. In fact, it is a perfect tool to establish a closer relationship between the brand and the consumer. Nevertheless, the understanding of the effectiveness of this specific form of communication is still in its infancy. After a short review of the literature on mobile advertising and its effects on consumer attitudes, we tested the influence of attitude towards mobile advertising on the attitudes of the Algerian consumer. The results obtained among a sample of 150 students using a regression analysis, showed firstly, the existence of a direct positive link between Ab and PI, and secondly, confirm our conclusions about the direct role of Ab on IP and support the existing literature. Thus, a consumer who has a positive attitude towards a brand is more likely, for example, first to buy the products offered by this brand, second, to consume again the product and third, recommend it to his friends. Furthermore, the results show that attitude towards mobile advertising has no influence on the attitude toward the brand and purchase intention. One explanation for this may be sought in the work on the intrusiveness of mobile advertising. Researchers agree on the fact that individuals are increasingly anxious to preserve their privacy. Mobile advertising therefore can present several risks of rejection. Hence, the need for a precise segmentation by firms. Brands must also build a relationship with their customers based on loyal clients in order to have permissions to send messages or applications through their mobile.
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